

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/071,919	02/08/2002	Biagio Bisanti	TI-32512	2279	
23494	7590 07/13/2005 .	07/13/2005 .		EXAMINER	
TEXAS IN	STRUMENTS INCORPO	NGUYEN, SIMON			
	5474, M/S 3999	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DALLAS, TX 75265			<u> </u>	PAPER NUMBER	
			2685		
*			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
			919	BISANTI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
			D. NGUYEN	2685			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NG - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum sta ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no sunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be time tatutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>23 <i>May</i> 200</u> 5.		•			
2a)□							
3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	•		,			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>10-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from c					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10)	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have be documents have be of the priority docun nal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Application nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage			
A44L	wax						
Attachment 1) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO 412)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/071,919

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 1, the term "a comparator having an output port for providing a phase information signal" contains a subject matter which was not described in the specification and in the contrast with the specification and independent claim 1.

Regarding claims 15 and 18, the term "circuitry for injecting the injection modulation signal into the phase lock loop at a point before the loop filter" contains a subject matter which was not described in the specification.

Regarding dependent claims 11-14, 16-17, 19-20, these claims are rejected since the independent claims have been rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCune, Jr. et al. (5,952,895).

Regarding independent claim 10, McCune, Jr. discloses a RF modulator (fig.4) having a PLL producing a modulated RF signal; a phase demodulator (419) for receiving the modulated RF signal and providing a phase information signal; and a comparator (417) for receiving the unmodified modulated RF signal (an output of the demodulator) and having an output port for providing an error signal (fig.4, column 3 lines 11-62).

Regarding dependent claims 11-14, components use in a modulator such as a phase delay, a PLL having a detector, a charge pump, a LPF, and a VCO are well-known in the art and the applicant can be seen either in the prior art of McCune or the cited prior art.

5. Claims 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dent (5,834,987).

Regarding independent claims 15 and 18, Dent discloses an RF modulator (fig.6), comprising: a PLL (fig.3); a circuitry for producing an injection modulation signal

. (120, 123 of fig.3); circuitry for injection (add) a modulation signal into the PLL at a point before the loop filter (injecting second stream from filter 123 to 101 then loop filter 102 (fig.6, column 8 lines 48-50, column 10 lines 40-51).

6. Claims 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beard (6,731,713).

Regarding independent claims 15 and 18, Beard discloses an RF modulator (fig.5), comprising: a PLL; a circuitry for producing an injection modulation signal (106)); circuitry for injection (add) a modulation signal into the PLL at a point before the loop filter (injecting at 118 (fig.5, column 5 lines 7-48).

7. Claims 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mattisson et al. (6,734,749).

Regarding independent claims 15 and 18, Mattisson discloses an RF modulator (fig.2, 3), comprising: a PLL; a circuitry for producing an injection modulation signal (34, 36); circuitry for injection (add) a modulation signal into the PLL at a point before the loop filter (injecting an modulation signal to adder 28 (figs.2-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/071,919

Art Unit: 2685

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent in view of McCune.

Regarding claims 16-17, Dent fails to disclose a demodulator.

McCune discloses a demodulator and a comparator (fig.4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have Dent, modified by McCune in order to reduce error of the modulated signal.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 1-9 are allowed.
- 11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 1 and 7, McCune, Jr. discloses a RF modulator (fig.4), comprising: a PLL for receiving a modulation signal (MOD) and producing a modulated RF signal (an output of VCO); a phase demodulator (419) receives the modulated RF signal via an mixer (420) and produces a phase information signal; a comparator (417) having two inputs, wherein a first input is the phase information signal from the demodulator and a second input is the modulation signal, and output an error signal, wherein the error signal inputted to a gain amplifier (411).

This reference does not specifically teach the error signal inputted to a preemphasis filter and the modulated signal outputted from the PLL directly inputs to the phase demodulator (the modulated signal mixes with a synthesizing signal and produce an output signal wherein the output signal inputted to the modulator). Regarding claim 2-6, 8-9, these claims are allowed as being dependent upon independent claims that have been allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 12. Since independent claims 10, 15, and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph, the Examiner should stop right there, waiting for the Applicant to amen the claims. The rejections of these claims just prove that the claimed invention have been invented by others.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban, can be reached on (571) 272-7899.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

600 Dulany, Alexandria, VA 22314

Or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2685

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Customer Service Window located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany, Alexandria, VA, 22314.

Simon Nguyen

July 11, 2005